

General Information	
Ministerial Decision Type	Deciding of: an Appeal/Case/Application/Public Inquiry
Report Title	Appeal decision: P/2023/1371 (Revised reasons for refusal -Les Minquiers, Le Mont Gras d'Eau, St Brelade)
Minister	Environment
Signatory	Minister
Lead Department	Cabinet Office
Lead Directorate	Housing, Environment and Placemaking
Ministerial Decision Summary: Public or Absolutely/Qualified Exempt	Public Select if more than one Absolutely/Qualified Exemption.
Date decision made if different to date 'Ministerial Decision Summary' signed.	Select date.
Report and Supplemental Report Details	
Report Author	Advisor: Planning appeals
Date of Report	04/12/2024
Supplementary Report Title <i>(If applicable)</i>	n/a
Supplementary Report Author <i>(If applicable)</i>	n/a
Date of Supplementary Report <i>(If applicable)</i>	Select Date of Supplemental Report.
Ministerial Decision Report: Public or Absolutely/Qualified Exempt	Public Select if more than one Absolutely/Qualified Exemption.
Relevant Case/Application/URN <i>(Only complete if making a decision related to an appeal/case/application)</i>	P/2023/1371
Relevant Proposition Number <i>(Only complete if presenting Comments or if lodging an Amendment)</i>	Insert P. number.
Relevant Scrutiny Report <i>(Only complete if presenting a ministerial response)</i>	Insert S.R. number.
Associated Law(s) and/or Subordinate Legislation	Articles 108 - 111 of the Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002
Action required if recommendation agreed	Department to take necessary action.

Resource Implications	There are no new financial and/or manpower implications.
-----------------------	--

Detail

Following an appeal against the refusal of planning permission, reference P/2023/1371 the Minister issued a ministerial decision MD-ENV-2024-764 dismissing the appeal and accompanying the decision with a schedule of reasons for refusal. Having given further consideration to the case, the Minister has decided to vary the reasons for refusal, primarily by removing Reason no. 1 and the reference to the term 'overbearing impact' as the independent planning inspector who heard the appeal concluded that the proposed scheme would not have such an impact.

Decision

The Minister decided to vary the reasons for refusal which accompanied the appeal decision, reference MD-ENV-2024-764, as per the accompanying revised schedule of reasons for refusal.

Reason for decision

The Minister considered that in the interests of clarity, references to 'overbearing impact' should be removed from the reasons for refusal.

End